

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: stonehenge
Date: 03 April 2022 18:02:59

It is with extreme sadness I see that you seem to be considering going ahead with the planned tunnel which is not suitable for a World Heritage site on historical and environmental grounds.

No alternatives have been put forward which would take the traffic further away from this most sensitive site in our history and reduce pollution by using other methods of traffic calming.

A bypass would be one alternative well away from the site but even better would be to completely rethink the idea that road traffic is the most important user of this landscape. If a tunnel is the only way then it needs to be longer and more protective of the site.

No account seems to have been of changes since the original decision which make the plan even less attractive. e.g. The 2021 World heritage decision, concerns over climate change which are even more urgent than they were before, the 2012 Environment act with new ambitions for nature recovery.

No mention is made of increasing construction costs, or updated carbon assessment costs.

Therefore a re-examination of the development consent order is essential before any scheme is agreed or work goes ahead.

Rhianon Turrell

[REDACTED]